

THE WIGNER-FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION: STATIONARY STATES AND LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR

ANTON ARNOLD, IRENE M. GAMBA, MARIA PIA GUALDANI,
AND CHRISTOF SPARBER

ABSTRACT. We consider the Wigner-Fokker-Planck equation subject to confining potentials which are smooth perturbations of the harmonic oscillator. For a certain class of these perturbations we prove that the equation admits a unique stationary solution in a weighted L^2 -space. Moreover, we show that the solutions of the time-dependent problem converge towards this steady state with an exponential rate.

1. INTRODUCTION

This work is devoted to the study of the *Wigner-Fokker-Planck equation* (WFP), considered in the following dimensionless form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t w + \xi \cdot \nabla_x w + \Theta[V]w = \Delta_\xi w + 2 \operatorname{div}_\xi (\xi w) + \Delta_x w, \\ w|_{t=0} = w_0(x, \xi), \end{cases}$$

where $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 1$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Here, $w(t, x, \xi)$ is the *Wigner transform* [22] of a quantum mechanical *density matrix* $\rho(t, x, y)$, as defined by

$$(1.2) \quad w(t, x, \xi) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \left(t, x + \frac{\eta}{2}, x - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) e^{i\xi \cdot \eta} d\eta.$$

Recall that, for any time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, a quantum mechanical (mixed) state is given by a positive, self-adjoint *trace class operator* $\rho(t) \in \mathfrak{T}_1^+$, where

$$\mathfrak{T}_1 := \{ \rho \in \mathfrak{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \operatorname{tr} |\rho| < \infty \}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{T}_1^+ \subset \mathfrak{T}_2$, the space of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators*, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{T}_2 := \{ \rho \in \mathfrak{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \operatorname{tr}(\rho^* \rho) < \infty \},$$

we can identify $\rho(t)$ with its corresponding integral kernel $\rho(t, \cdot, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, the so-called density matrix. Consequently, $\rho(t)$ acts on a function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via

$$(\rho(t)\varphi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t, x, y) \varphi(y) dy.$$

Using the Wigner transformed density matrix (1.2), one obtains a *phase-space description* of quantum mechanics, with x being the position and ξ the momentum. By definition, $w(t, \cdot, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and *real-valued*. In contrast to classical phase space distributions, $w(t, x, \xi)$ in general also takes negative values.

Equation (1.1) governs the time evolution of $w(t, x, \xi)$ in the framework of so-called *open quantum systems*, which model both the Hamiltonian evolution of a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 82C10, 35S10, 74H40, 81Q15.

Key words and phrases. Wigner transform, Fokker Planck operator, stationary solution, large time behavior.

C. S. has been supported by the APART grant of the Austrian Academy of Sciences. A. A. acknowledges partial support from the DFG under Grant No. AR 277/3-3, the ESF in the project “Global and geometrical aspects of nonlinear partial differential equations”, and the Wissenschaftskolleg *Differentialgleichungen* of the FWF.

quantum system and its interaction with an environment (see [12], e.g.). Here, we specifically describe these interactions by the Fokker-Planck type diffusion operator on the r.h.s of (1.1). Potential forces acting on $w(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ are taken into account by the pseudo-differential operator

$$(1.3) \quad (\Theta[V]f)(x, \xi) := \frac{i}{(2\pi)^d} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \delta V(x, \eta) f(x, \xi') e^{i\eta \cdot (\xi - \xi')} d\xi' d\eta,$$

where the symbol δV is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \delta V(x, \eta) = V\left(x + \frac{\eta}{2}\right) - V\left(x - \frac{\eta}{2}\right).$$

The WFP equation is a kinetic model for quantum mechanical charge-transport, including diffusive effects, as needed, e.g., in the description of quantum Brownian motion [14], quantum optics [16], and semiconductor device simulations [15]. It can be considered as a quantum mechanical generalization of the usual kinetic Fokker-Planck equation (or Kramer's equation), to which it is known to converge in the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, after an appropriate rescaling of the appearing physical parameters [9]. The WFP has been partly derived in [10], as a rigorous scaling limit for a system of particles interacting with a heat bath of phonons. Formal derivations (based on physical arguments) can also be found in [13, 14, 21].

In recent years, mathematical studies mainly focused on the Cauchy problem of WFP type equations –both linear and nonlinear– in various functional analytical settings, see [2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 11]. To this end it is important to note that the dynamics induced by (1.1) maps $\mathfrak{T}_1^+(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ into itself, since the so-called *Lindblad condition* is fulfilled (see Remark 1.3 below). For more details on this we refer to [6, 8] and the references given therein. In the present work we shall mainly be concerned with the steady state problem corresponding to (1.1). Stationary problems for quantum systems based on the Wigner formalism seem to be rather difficult, as only very few results exist (in spite of significant efforts): The stationary, inflow-problem for the linear Wigner equation in $d = 1$ was analyzed in [5]. The only existing result for the WFP equation is [20], where the existence of a unique steady state for a quadratic potential V has been proved. We remark that criteria for the existence of stationary density matrices for quantum dynamical semi-groups (corresponding to a Heisenberg-von Neumann evolution equation in Lindblad form) were given in [17]. The applicability of those results to the WFP equation, however, is not obvious and has not yet been investigated.

More specifically, we shall from now on assume that the potential V , appearing in (1.1), is of the form

$$(1.5) \quad V(x) = \frac{1}{2} |x|^2 + \lambda V_0(x),$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ some given perturbation constant. In other words we consider a perturbation V_0 of the harmonic oscillator. An easy calculation shows that for such a V the stationary equation, corresponding to (1.1), can be written as

$$(1.6) \quad Lw = \lambda \Theta[V_0]w,$$

where L is the linear operator

$$(1.7) \quad Lw := -\xi \cdot \nabla_x w + x \cdot \nabla_\xi w + \Delta_\xi w + 2\operatorname{div}_\xi(\xi w) + \Delta_x w.$$

To solve (1.6) we shall use a fixed point iteration for w . However, L has a non-trivial kernel. Indeed it is been proved in [20], that in the case $\lambda = 0$ there exists a unique stationary solution $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad L\mu = 0,$$

together with the normalization condition

$$(1.9) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mu(x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi = 1.$$

Remark 1.1. Note that for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{T}_1$ such that $w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ the following formal identity

$$\mathrm{tr} \, \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x, x) \, dx = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w(x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi,$$

can be rigorously justified by a limiting procedure in $\mathfrak{T}_1$, see [1]. Since $\mathrm{tr} \, \rho$ is proportional to the total mass of the quantum system, we can interpret condition (1.9) as a mass normalization.

Explicitly, μ can be written as

$$\mu = e^{-A(x, \xi)},$$

where the function A is given by

$$A(x, \xi) := \frac{1}{4}(|x|^2 + 2x \cdot \xi + 3|\xi|^2) + \omega,$$

and the constant $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen such that $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mu(x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi = 1$ holds. In the following, we denote by $\sigma > 0$ the biggest constant such that

$$(1.10) \quad \mathrm{Hess} \, A(x, \xi) - \sigma \mathbf{I} \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},$$

in the sense of positive definite matrices, where $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. The constant $\sigma > 0$ can be explicitly computed in our case to be equal to $\sigma = 1 - 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Next, let us define the weighted Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, \mu^{-1} \, dx \, d\xi)$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{fg}{\mu} \, dx \, d\xi.$$

In the following, we also denote by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(k) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} \, dx,$$

the Fourier transform with respect to the variable x . With these definitions at hand, we can now state the first main result of our work.

Theorem 1. *Let $V_0(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that*

$$(1.11) \quad C_0 := \frac{2e^{d/4}}{d} \sum_{m=1}^d \left\| \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{d}|k_m|}{2} \right) e^{dk_m^2/4} \widehat{V}_0(k) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty.$$

Also, let $|\lambda| < \sigma/C_0$, where $\sigma > 0$ is defined in (1.10). Then, it holds:

- (i) *Equation (1.6) admits a unique weak solution $w_\infty \in \mathcal{H} \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, satisfying the normalization condition $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_\infty \, dx \, d\xi = 1$. Moreover, we have $w_\infty \in H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.*
- (ii) *For any initial data $w_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ of (1.1), satisfying $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_0 \, dx \, d\xi = 1$, we have*

$$\|w(t) - w_\infty\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq e^{-\kappa t} \|w_0 - w_\infty\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$\text{where } \kappa := \sqrt{2(C_0|\lambda| - \sigma)} > 0.$$

Roughly speaking, Theorem 1 shows existence and exponential stability of the steady state w_∞ for smooth perturbations V_0 , which are not “too concentrated” for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 1.2. A possible class of admissible potentials V_0 , satisfying condition (1.11), is given by a convolution of the form

$$V_0 = e^{-|x|^2/\varepsilon} * U,$$

where, as long as $\varepsilon > d$, one may choose any $U \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that $\widehat{U} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 1 is formulated in the Wigner transformed picture of quantum mechanics. We shall now turn our attention to the corresponding density matrix operators $\rho(t)$. This is important since it is a-priori not clear that w_∞ has a physical meaningful interpretation in terms of a positive trace class operator. To this end we denote by ρ_∞ the Hilbert-Schmidt operator corresponding to the kernel $\rho_\infty(x, y)$, which is obtained from $w_\infty(x, \xi)$ by the *inverse Wigner transform*, i.e.

$$\rho_\infty(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w_\infty\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) e^{-i\xi \cdot (x-y)} d\xi.$$

Analogously we denote by ρ_0 the Hilbert-Schmidt operator corresponding to $w_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, the initial Wigner function.

Theorem 2. *Under the same assumptions on V_0 and w_0 as before, we have:*

- (i) *The steady state ρ_∞ is a positive trace-class operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, satisfying $\text{tr } \rho_\infty = 1$.*
- (ii) *The steady state ρ_∞ is exponentially stable, in the sense that*

$$\|\rho(t) - \rho_\infty\|_{\mathfrak{T}_2} \leq K e^{-\kappa t} \|w_0 - w_\infty\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for some $K > 0$ depending only on the spatial dimension d .

- (iii) *If the initial state $w_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ corresponds to a density matrix $\rho_0 \in \mathfrak{T}_1^+$ (and hence $\text{tr } \rho_0 \equiv \iint w_0 dx d\xi = 1$), then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho(t) - \rho_\infty\|_{\mathfrak{T}_1} = 0.$$

Note that – in the presented framework – we do not obtain exponential convergence towards the steady state in the $\mathfrak{T}_1$ -norm but only in the sense of Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

Remark 1.3. It is straightforward to extend our results to the more general Fokker-Planck type operator appearing on the r.h.s. of (1.1),

$$Qw := \alpha \Delta_\xi w + 2\beta \text{div}_x(\nabla_\xi w) + 2\gamma \text{div}_\xi(\xi w) + \delta \Delta_x w,$$

as long as the *Lindblad condition* holds, i.e.

$$(1.12) \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \alpha\delta - \left(\beta^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) \geq 0.$$

This implies that discarding in (1.1) the diffusion in x , and hence reducing the r.h.s. to the classical Fokker-Planck operator $Q^{cl}w := \Delta_\xi w + 2\text{div}_\xi(\xi w)$, would *not* satisfy (1.12). Nevertheless, this is a frequently used model in applications [23], yielding reasonable results.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we first prove several preliminary results on the unperturbed problem to be used later on. In Section 3 we first estimate the perturbation operator $\Theta[V_0]$ and then give the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON THE UNPERTURBED PROBLEM

It has been shown in [20] that the operator L , defined in (1.7), can be rewritten in the following form

$$(2.1) \quad Lw = \operatorname{div}(\nabla w + w(\nabla A + F)),$$

where from now on all differential operators act with respect to both x and ξ (if not indicated otherwise). In (2.1), the function A is defined by (1) and

$$F := \begin{pmatrix} -\xi \\ x + 2\xi \end{pmatrix} - \nabla A.$$

The reason to do so is that (2.1) falls into the class of non-symmetric Fokker-Planck type operators considered in [7]. To proceed further we decompose L into its symmetric and anti-symmetric part in $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. we write

$$(2.2) \quad L = L^s + L^{as},$$

where

$$L^s w = \operatorname{div}(\nabla w + w \nabla A), \quad L^{as} w = \operatorname{div}(Fw).$$

In particular, it has been shown in [20], that the following property holds:

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{div}(F\mu) = 0.$$

Next we shall properly define the operators L and L^* . To this end we first consider $L|_{C_0^\infty}$, which is closable (w.r.t. the $\mathcal{H}$ -norm) due to its dissipativity (cf. Lemma 2.2 below). The operator $\overline{L|_{C_0^\infty}}$ is now closed and densely defined on $\mathcal{H}$. The following lemma then states an important assertion for this procedure.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $P = p(x, \xi, \nabla_x, \nabla_\xi)$, where p is a second order polynomial. Then $\overline{P|_{C_0^\infty}}$ is the maximum extension of P in $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. The proof is generalization of the one given in [8], where the analogous lemma was proved on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Generalizations to L^2 -spaces with polynomial weights in ξ can be found in [2, 3].

For all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $Pf \in \mathcal{H}$, we need to construct an approximating sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in the graph norm $\|f\|_P := \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|Pf\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. To this end we rewrite the weight in $\mathcal{H}$ as

$$\mu^{-1}(x, \xi) = e^\omega \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{4^n n!} (|x|^2 + 2x \cdot \xi + 3|\xi|^2)^n,$$

since all terms appearing in this expansion can then be treated analogously to those in [3]. The proof however is rather awkward and lengthy and we shall leave the details to the reader. $\square$

Since L has this polynomial form, we define $L := \overline{L|_{C_0^\infty}}$, obtaining the maximally extended L on $D(L) = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : Lf \in \mathcal{H}\}$. For this operator L we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *L is dissipative, i.e. it holds $\langle Lw, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0$, for all $w \in D(L)$.*

Proof. Taking into account that $\nabla A = -\mu^{-1} \nabla \mu$ we have, on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L^s w, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{w}{\mu} \operatorname{div}(\nabla w + w \nabla A) \, dx \, d\xi \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{w}{\mu} \operatorname{div} \left(\mu \nabla \left(\frac{w}{\mu} \right) \right) \, dx \, d\xi \\ &= - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mu \left| \nabla \left(\frac{w}{\mu} \right) \right|^2 \, dx \, d\xi \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.3) it follows

$$w \operatorname{div} F = -\frac{w}{\mu} F \cdot \nabla \mu$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{div}(Fw) = -\mu F \cdot \left(\frac{w}{\mu^2} \nabla \mu - \frac{\nabla w}{\mu} \right) = \mu F \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{w}{\mu} \right).$$

An easy calculation then shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L^{\text{as}} w, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{w}{\mu} \operatorname{div}(Fw) \, dx \, d\xi = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{w}{\mu} F \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{w}{\mu} \right) \mu \, dx \, d\xi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left(\frac{w}{\mu} \right)^2 \operatorname{div}(F\mu) \, dx \, d\xi = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.3). In summary we have shown that $\langle Lw, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0$ holds. $\square$

Next we consider $L^*|_{C_0^\infty}$, defined by $\langle Lf, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f, L^*g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, for $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. One explicitly finds that $L^* = L^s - L^{\text{as}}$, on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, and thus we also have that $L^*|_{C_0^\infty}$ is dissipative. Since Lemma 2.1 likewise applies to $L^* := \overline{L^*|_{C_0^\infty}}$ we infer that L^* is dissipative on all of its domain.

Corollary 2.3. *L generates a C_0 -semigroup of contractions on $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Since L and L^* are both dissipative operators, it follows from the Lumer-Phillips theorem that L is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup of contractions on $\mathcal{H}$, see Corollary 1.4.4 in [18]. $\square$

Let, for any $t \geq 0$, e^{Lt} be the C_0 -semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$ generated by L . Obviously we have $e^{Lt}\mu = \mu$, by (1.8). In [20] the Green's function of e^{Lt} was computed explicitly. It shows that e^{Lt} conserves mass, i.e. for $w(t) = e^{Lt}w_0$ it holds

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w(t, x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_0(x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

We remark though that the functional analytical setting in [20] is not the same as in the present work and thus we can not directly conclude from [20], that L generates a C_0 -semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$.

To proceed we define

$$\mathcal{H}^\perp := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f \perp \mu\},$$

which is a closed subset of $\mathcal{H}$. Note that $f \perp \mu$ simply means that

$$\langle f, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi = 0.$$

It is then easily seen that e^{Lt} maps $\mathcal{H}^\perp$ into itself: For $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$ the conservation of mass implies

$$\langle e^{Lt}f_0, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(t, x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f_0(x, \xi) \, dx \, d\xi = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Now, Corollary 2.3 allows us to prove the following key result.

Proposition 2.4. *It holds*

$$\|L^{-1}\|_{B(\mathcal{H}^\perp)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma},$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is defined in (1.10).

Proof. Condition (1.10) implies, c.f. [7, Theorem 3.10], that L has a spectral gap of size $\sigma > 0$, and hence

$$\|e^{Lt}(w_0 - w_\infty)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq e^{-\sigma t} \|w_0 - \mu\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Here, w_0 satisfies $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_0 \, dx d\xi = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mu \, dx d\xi = 1$. The Hille-Yosida theorem applied to $e^{Lt}|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp}$ consequently yields

$$\|(L - z)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^\perp)} \leq \frac{1}{z + \sigma}, \quad \forall z > -\sigma,$$

which proves the assertion for $z = 0$. □

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Before we turn to the proof of our main theorems we need to derive an estimate on the perturbation induced by V_0 . The following lemma helps us to do so.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we can estimate*

$$|\xi|^{2n} \leq d^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^d \xi_m^{2n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Denote by $\Xi := \{\xi_m^2\}_{m=1}^d$ and $\|\Xi\|_{\ell^p}^p := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^d |\xi_m|^{2p}$, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, using Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$|\xi|^{2n} = d^n \|\Xi\|_{\ell^1}^n \leq d^n \|\Xi\|_{\ell^n}^n \|1\|_{\ell^{n/(n-1)}}^n = d^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^d \xi_m^{2n},$$

which is the assertion of the lemma. □

With the above given lemma in hand we shall now derive an appropriate bound on $\Theta[V_0]$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $V_0(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that condition (1.11) holds. Then the operator $\Theta[V_0]$ maps $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}^\perp$ and it holds*

$$\|\Theta[V_0]\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq C_0.$$

Proof. First we note that $\mu^{-1} \leq e^{|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2}$ and hence

$$\|\Theta[V_0]w\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|(\Theta[V_0]w) e^{|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

In the following we denote by

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow \eta} w)(x, \eta) \equiv \widehat{w}(x, \eta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w(x, \xi) e^{-i\xi \cdot \eta} d\xi,$$

the partial Fourier transform with respect to the variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ only and recall from (1.3), that the operator $\Theta[V_0]$ acts via

$$\Theta[V_0]w = \mathcal{F}_{\eta \rightarrow \xi}^{-1}(\delta V_0(\mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow \eta} w)).$$

Setting $u(x, \xi) := e^{\frac{1}{2}|x|^2} w(x, \xi)$ and using the series expansion of the exponential, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Theta[V_0]w) e^{|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &= \|(\Theta[V_0]u) e^{|\xi|^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\ &= \left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{|\xi|^{2n}}{n!} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can apply Lemma 3.1 to estimate

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{|\xi|^{2n}}{n!} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq \sum_{m=1}^d \left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \xi_m^{2n} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

In the following we only estimate the m -th term appearing in the above series: By Plancherel's theorem (used for $\mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow \eta}$) we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \xi_m^{2n} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &= \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{(-1)^n d^{n-1}}{n!} \partial_{\eta_m}^{2n} (\delta V_0 \hat{u}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{(-1)^n d^{n-1}}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \frac{j!}{(2n)!(2n-j)!} (\partial_{\eta_m}^j \delta V_0) (\partial_{\eta_m}^{2n-j} \hat{u}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \end{aligned}$$

To proceed further we truncate the above summation in n at an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

We then set $\ell := 2n - j$, as well as

$$\varepsilon_{j,\ell} := \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+\ell}}{2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j + \ell \text{ even} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We rewrite (3.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \xi_m^{2n} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{2N} j! (\partial_{\eta_m}^j \delta V_0) \sum_{\ell=0}^{2N} \frac{\varepsilon_{j,\ell} (-d)^{\frac{\ell+j}{2}-1}}{\left(\frac{\ell+j}{2}\right)! (\ell+j)! \ell!} \partial_{\eta_m}^\ell \hat{u} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \frac{d^{j/2}}{\left[\frac{j+1}{2}\right]!} \left\| \partial_{\eta_m}^j (\delta V_0) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \left\| \sum_{\ell=0}^{2N} \frac{d^{\ell/2}}{\ell!} |\xi_m|^\ell u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \end{aligned}$$

In the second step we have used Hölder's inequality and the following elementary result

$$\frac{j!}{\left(\frac{\ell+j}{2}\right)! (\ell+j)!} \leq \frac{1}{\left[\frac{j+1}{2}\right]!}, \quad \forall j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with $[x] \in \mathbb{N}_0$ denoting the biggest natural number smaller or equal to x . By (1.4), we have that

$$\sup_{x, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_{\eta_m}^j (\delta V_0)(x, \eta)| = 2^{(1-j)} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_{y_m}^j V_0(y)|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \xi_m^{2n} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &\leq \frac{2}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \frac{d^{j/2}}{2^j \left[\frac{j+1}{2}\right]!} \| |k_m|^j \hat{V}_0 \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \sum_{\ell=0}^{2N} \frac{d^{\ell/2}}{\ell!} |\xi_m|^\ell u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{j/2}}{2^j \left[\frac{j+1}{2}\right]!} \| |k_m|^j \hat{V}_0 \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \| e^{\sqrt{d}|\xi_m|} u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we note that (by decomposing the remaining sum into its even and odd indices) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{j/2} |k_m|^j}{2^j \left[\frac{j+1}{2}\right]!} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d} |k_m|}{2} \right)^{2j} + \frac{\sqrt{d} |k_m|}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d} |k_m|}{2} \right)^{2j} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{d} |k_m|}{2} \right) e^{dk_m^2/4}. \end{aligned}$$

We finally arrive at

$$\left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \xi_m^{2n} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{2e^{d/4}}{d} \left\| \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{d} |k_m|}{2} \right) e^{dk_m^2/4} \hat{V}_0 \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \| e^{\xi_m^2} u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})},$$

for any $m = 1, \dots, d$ and for all $N \geq 1$. Thus, (3.1) implies

$$\left\| (\Theta[V_0]u) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{|\xi|^{2n}}{n!} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq \frac{2e^{d/4}}{d} \|e^{|\xi|^2} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \sum_{m=1}^d \left\| \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{d}|k_m|}{2}\right) e^{dk_m^2/4} \widehat{V}_0 \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our first main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall first show assertion (i): Any solution $w_\infty \in \mathcal{H}$ of (1.6) that is subject to the normalization $\iint w_\infty dx d\xi = 1$, satisfies the unique decomposition $w_\infty = \mu + w^*$ with $w^* \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. Therefore, we consider the following fixed point iteration for w^* :

$$T : \mathcal{H}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\perp, \quad w_{n-1} \mapsto T(w_{n-1}) \equiv w_n,$$

where $w_n \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$ solves

$$Lw_n = \lambda \Theta[V_0](w_{n-1} + \mu).$$

To be able to apply Banach's fixed point theorem, we have to prove that the mapping T is a contraction on $\mathcal{H}^\perp$. To this end we write, for any $w_{n-1}, \tilde{w}_{n-1} \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$,

$$\|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} = \|\lambda L^{-1} \Theta[V_0](w_{n-1} - \tilde{w}_{n-1})\|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp}$$

and estimate

$$\|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} \leq |\lambda| \|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^\perp)} \|\Theta[V_0](w_{n-1} - \tilde{w}_{n-1})\|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp}.$$

From the Propositions 2.4 and 3.2 we consequently obtain

$$\|w_n - \tilde{w}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} \leq \frac{C_0 |\lambda|}{\sigma} \|w_{n-1} - \tilde{w}_{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp},$$

for all potentials V_0 , which satisfy (1.11). Since $|\lambda| < \sigma/C_0$, there exists a unique fixed point $w^* = T(w^*) \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. Thus, the unique (stationary) solution of (1.6) is obtained as $w_\infty = \mu + w^*$, and it holds $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_\infty dx d\xi = 1$.

Note that the obtained solution $w_\infty \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ satisfies (1.6), at least in the distributional sense. Moreover, $\Theta[V_0]w_\infty \in \mathcal{H}$ and $Lw_\infty \in H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and thus (1.6) also holds in $H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. To explore – a-posteriori – the regularity of w_∞ , we rewrite (1.6) in the following weak form

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\nabla_x w_\infty \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \nabla_\xi w_\infty \cdot \nabla_\xi \varphi + w_\infty \varphi) dx d\xi = {}_{H^{-1}} \langle F(w_\infty), \varphi \rangle_{H^1},$$

for any $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where

$$F(w_\infty) := w_\infty - \operatorname{div}_x(\xi w_\infty) + \operatorname{div}_\xi(x w_\infty + 2\xi w_\infty) - \lambda \Theta[V_0]w_\infty.$$

Clearly $F(w_\infty) \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and thus $w_\infty \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ follows. Moreover, since $F(w_\infty) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we also have $w_\infty \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

To show assertion (ii) of Theorem 1 we first note that $\Theta[V_0]$ is a bounded perturbation of the generator L on $\mathcal{H}$ and thus (1.1) admits a unique mild solution $w \in C([0, \infty), \mathcal{H})$, c.f. Corollary 2.3. Since $\Theta[V_0]$ maps $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}^\perp$, we also know that along this solution the mass is conserved, i.e. $\iint w(t) dx d\xi = 1$, for all $t \geq 0$.

Next, consider the new unknown $v(t) := w(t) - w_\infty$. Due to mass conservation $v(t) \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$, for all $t \geq 0$, and we also have

$$\partial_t v = Lv - \Theta[V_0]v,$$

since w_∞ is a stationary solution of (1.1). Using this, one easily computes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{v(t)}{\mu} (Lv - \Theta[V_0]v) dx d\xi \\ &= 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{v(t)}{\mu} (L^s v - \Theta[V_0]v) dx d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where we decompose L according to (2.2), having in mind that L^{as} is anti-symmetric in $\mathcal{H}$. Recall now that $L^s \leq -\sigma$ on $\mathcal{H}^\perp$, c.f. Proposition 2.4. Together with Proposition 3.2, this consequently yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq 2(C_0|\lambda| - \sigma) \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The exponential convergence then follows by a Gronwall estimate and the theorem is proved. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Due to the mass normalization $\iint w_\infty dx d\xi = \iint \mu dx d\xi = 1$, the fixed point w^* must take both positive and negative values. Thus, $w_\infty = \mu + w^*$ may, in general, also take negative values.

Proof of Theorem 2. We start with assertion (ii), which follows from the fact that

$$\forall \rho \in \mathfrak{T}_2 : \quad \|\rho\|_{\mathfrak{T}_2} = \|\rho(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|w(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq K \|w(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

for some $K = K(d) > 0$. Thus, we infer

$$\rho(t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_\infty \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{T}_2$$

with the exponential rate obtained from Theorem 1 (ii).

To prove assertion (i) we consider the transient equation (1.1) as an auxiliary problem: Choose any $\rho_0 \in \mathfrak{T}_1^+$ such that $\text{tr } \rho_0 = 1$ and the corresponding $w_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Due to the results on the linear Cauchy problem given in [8] we know that (1.1) gives rise to a unique mild solution $\rho \in C([0, \infty); \mathfrak{T}_1^+)$, satisfying $\text{tr } \rho(t) = 1$, for all $t \geq 0$. The Banach-Alaoglu Theorem then asserts the existence of a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ with $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\rho(t_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\rho} \quad \text{in } \mathfrak{T}_1 \text{ weak-}\star$$

for some limiting $\tilde{\rho} \in \mathfrak{T}_1$. The already obtained $\mathfrak{T}_2$ -convergence of $\rho(t)$ towards $\rho_\infty \in \mathfrak{T}_2$ implies $\rho_\infty = \tilde{\rho} \in \mathfrak{T}_1$. And the *uniqueness* of the steady state yields the convergences of the whole t -dependent function $\rho(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}$ in $\mathfrak{T}_1$ weak- $\star$. Finally, we also conclude positivity of the operator ρ_∞ by the $\mathfrak{T}_2$ -convergence and the fact that we already know from [8]: $\rho(t) \geq 0$, for all $t \geq 0$.

It remains to prove $\text{tr } \rho_\infty = 1$. To this end, we recall that for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{T}_1^+$ the corresponding kernel

$$\vartheta(x, \eta) := \rho\left(x + \frac{\eta}{2}, x - \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$$

satisfies $\vartheta \in C(\mathbb{R}_\eta^d, L_+^1(\mathbb{R}_x^d))$, see [1], and it also holds

$$(3.3) \quad \text{tr } \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \vartheta(x, 0) dx.$$

Further, note that $\vartheta(x, \eta) = (\mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow \eta} w)(x, \eta) \equiv \hat{w}(x, \eta)$, by (1.2). On the other hand, for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$ we know that $\hat{w} \in C(\mathbb{R}_\eta^d, L^1(\mathbb{R}_x^d))$, due to the exponential L^2 -weight μ^{-1} in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a simple Sobolev imbedding w.r.t. the variable $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence the normalization condition $\iint w_\infty dx d\xi = 1$ implies $\text{tr } \rho_\infty = 1$, via (3.3), and assertion (ii) is proved.

Finally, we prove claim (iii) by first noting that the $\mathfrak{T}_2$ -convergence of $\rho(t)$ implies convergence in the strong operator topology. Thus, having in mind that $\|\rho(t)\|_{\mathfrak{T}_1} =$

$\|\rho_0\|_{\mathfrak{T}_1} = 1$, we infer from Grümmer's theorem [19] that $\rho(t)$ also converges in the $\mathfrak{T}_1$ -norm towards ρ_∞ . In summary, this concludes the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. A. Arnold, *Self-Consistent Relaxation-Time Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **21** (1996), no. 3/4, 473–506.
2. A. Arnold, J. Carillo, and E. Dhamo, *On the periodic Wigner-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002), 263–276.
3. A. Arnold, E. Dhamo, and C. Manzini, *The Wigner-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system: global-in-time solutions and dispersive effects*, Annales de l'IHP (C) - Analyse non lineaire **24** (2007), no. 4, 645–676.
4. A. Arnold, E. Dhamo, and C. Manzini, *Dispersive effects in quantum kinetic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 3, 1299–1332.
5. A. Arnold, H. Lange, and P.F. Zweifel, *A discrete-velocity, stationary Wigner equation*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), no. 11, 7167–7180.
6. A. Arnold, J.L. Lopez, P. Markowich, and J. Soler, *An Analysis of Quantum Fokker-Planck Models: A Wigner Function Approach*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **20** (2004), no. 3, 771–814.
7. A. Arnold, P. Markowich, G. Toscani, and A. Unterreiter, *On convex Sobolev inequalities and the rate of convergence to equilibrium for Fokker-Planck type equations*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equ. **26** (2001), 43–100.
8. A. Arnold and C. Sparber, *Quantum dynamical semigroups for diffusion models with Hartree interaction*, Comm. Math. Phys. **251** (2004), no.1, 179–207.
9. R. Bosi, *Classical limit for linear and nonlinear quantum Fokker-Planck systems*, preprint 2007.
10. F. Castella, L. Erdős, F. Frommlet, and P. Markowich, *Fokker-Planck equations as Scaling Limit of Reversible Quantum Systems*, J. Stat. Physics **100** (2000), no. 3/4, 543–601.
11. J. A. Canizo, J. L. Lopez, and J. Nieto, *Global L^1 theory and regularity for the 3D nonlinear Wigner-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system*, J. Diff. Equ. **198** (2004), 356–373.
12. E. B. Davies, *Quantum Theory of Open Systems*, Academic Press (1976).
13. H. Dekker, *Quantization of the linearly damped harmonic oscillator*, Phys. Rev. A **16** (1977), 2126–2134.
14. L. Diósi, *On high-temperature Markovian equations for quantum Brownian motion*, Europhys. Lett. **22** (1993), 1–3.
15. A. Donarini, T. Novotný, and A.P. Jauho, *Simple models suffice for the single-dot quantum shuttle*, New J. of Physics **7** (2005), 237–262.
16. M. Elk and P. Lambropoulos, *Connection between approximate Fokker-Planck equations and the Wigner function applied to the micromaser*, Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **8** (1996), 23–37.
17. F. Fagnola and R. Rebolledo, *On the existence of stationary states for quantum dynamical semigroups*, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001), 1296–1308.
18. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer (1983).
19. B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1979).
20. C. Sparber, J. A. Carrillo, J. Dolbeault, and P. Markowich, *On the Long Time behavior of the Quantum Fokker-Planck Equation*, Monatsh. Math. **141** (2004), 237–257.
21. B. Vacchini, *Translation-covariant Markovian master equation for a test particle in a quantum fluid*, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001), 4291–4312.
22. E. Wigner, *On the quantum correction for the thermodynamical equilibrium*, Phys. Rev. **40** (1932), 742–759.
23. S. Zhang and E. Pollak, *Quantum dynamics for dissipative systems: A numerical study of the Wigner-Fokker-Planck equation*, J. Chem. Phys. **118** (2003), 4357–4364.

INSTITUTE FOR ANALYSIS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY VIENNA, WIEDNER HAUPTSTR. 8, A-1040 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: `anton.arnold@tuwien.ac.at`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 1 UNIVERSITY STATION C1200, TEXAS 78712, USA

E-mail address: `gamba@math.utexas.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 1 UNIVERSITY STATION C1200, TEXAS 78712, USA

E-mail address: `gualdani@math.utexas.edu`

WOLFGANG PAULI INSTITUTE VIENNA c/o FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, VIENNA UNIVERSITY, NORDBERGSTRASSE 15, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: `christof.sparber@univie.ac.at`